Scott. II-27.—40,000—20-12-29.

Development (1939) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No.	1889
Dated	29th July 1939

[Despatch Abstract.]

Forwards

Kurunegala

Rosabetta

Execution of

Cash deposit

for Crown grant

Exemption from

bond + grant of

title deed

1208-F/39
21.4.39.

To

The Secretary
The Government of Madras,
(Development Department),
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Respectfully submitted through

The Director of Government Fisheries,
Madras.

The memorial submitted by Poova Gurikar,
Ticket-Holder - Fish Curing Yard, Hosabettu,
Suratkal P.O., (South Kanara District).

Respectfully Sheweth:-

Ref:-G.O.Ms.No.454, (Development), dated 23-3-1939, Fish Curing Yard Hosabettu, continuance for a further period of 2 years. Execution of Bond demanded. Cash deposit refund prayed for. Orders solicited.

That memorialist most respectfully ventures to approach Government on his own behalf and on behalf of the other Ticket holders of the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard, in the district of South Kanara, and begs leave to submit the following for favour of Governments sympathetic and just -consideration.

2. That the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard is one of the oldest Fish Curing Yards, if not the oldest in this district and existed long before the Fish Curing Yards were brought

under the management of the Fisheries Department.

3. That in the year 1930-31 this Fish Curing Yard having failed to consume the requisite quota of Salt, Government contemplated its closure and on a representation by this memorialist -Government were pleased to sanction its continuance in their G.O.No.Ms.1610, (Development) dated 6th November, 1931 on condition of memorialist and other Ticket-holders jointly depositing a cash security of Rs.450/- and holding themselves responsible to make good any loss the Government may suffer.

4. That memorialist accordingly remitted Rs.450/- to the Mangalore Sub-Treasury on 23-11-1931 and on the 2nd. December,1931, the Director of Fisheries, Madras intimated in his No. 2599F/31, that necessary instructions for re-opening the yard have been issued to the Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) Calicut and the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard was accordingly reopened.

5. That memorialist humbly submits the temporary closing of this Fish Curing Yard under circumstances submitted above, and its subsequent re-opening cannot remove or disconnect it with its antiquity nor can these incidents place this oldest Fish Curing Yard in the category of New Fish Curing Yards with any justification.

6. That further the operations in a Fish Curing Yard depend mostly on the Catches in the particular locality during particular seasons and these catches again are above human controll and depend upon several incidental conditions over which none except elements of natural phenomena controlled by higher forces has control

~~phenomena controlled by higher forces.~~

7. That therefore should any particular Fish Curing Yard suffer a loss in any year, that can not be taken to be a sufficient cause to close down the yard.

8. That this particular Fish Curing Yard had never suffered loss before the year 1931 and since the year 1931 till now, it has justified its existence and Fish Curing Yards taken as a whole are a source of income to the department.

9. That cash deposits are never demanded in the case of Old Fish Curing Yards and the demand of such a deposit in case of this Fish Curing Yard was therefore not justified; memorialist yielded and paid the deposit to make the Government feel convinced that the plea for the continuance of this Fish Curing Yard was well founded.

10. The cash deposit of Rs.450/- remitted by memorialist in 1931 is lying idle without interest with the Government during these 8 years and memorialist submits that it is a hard hit for the memorialists. They are a poor community and always labour under great financial difficulties and it is too much to expect them to allow this sum of Rs.450/- to remain with the Government for all time to come.

11. That the continuance of this particular Fish Curing Yard having been justified by the results during these eight years, memorialist most humbly submits the demand for a fresh bond for a

further period of 2 years is not justified.

In these circumstances memorialist prays that Government may be graciously pleased to treat the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard as one of the oldest Fish Curing Yards and allow it to continue without any demand of a fresh bond, and also memorialist implores that the amount now held in deposit be refunded.

For which act of justice and mercy memorialist shall ever feel grateful-

Hosabettu,
19-4-1939.

5

11512

To

The Secretary

The Government of Madras,

(Development Department),

Fort St. George,

M a d r a s.

Respectfully submitted through

The Director of Government Fisheries,

M a d r a s.

The memorial submitted by Poova Gurikar,
Ticket-Holder - Fish Curing Yard, Hosabettu,
Suratkal P.O., (South Kanara District).

Respectfully Sheweth:-

Fish 7 b (9)

Ref:-G.O.Ms.No.454, (Development), dated 23-3-1939, Fish Curing Yard Hosabettu, continuance for a further period of 2 years. Execution of Bond demanded. Cash deposit refund prayed for. Orders solicited.

That memorialist most respectfully ventures to approach Government on his own behalf and on behalf of the other Ticket holders of the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard, in the district of South Kanara, and begs leave to submit the following for favour of Governments sympathetic and just consideration.

2. That the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard is one of the oldest Fish Curing Yards, if not the oldest in this district and existed long before the Fish Curing Yards were brought

before 1924

in 1924 under the management of the Fisheries Department.

3. That in the year 1930-31 this Fish Curing Yard having failed to consume the requisite quota of Salt, Government contemplated its closure and on a representation by this memorialist -Government were pleased to sanction its continuance in their G.O.No.Ms.1610, (Development) dated 6th November, 1931 on condition (2) of memorialist and other Ticket-holders jointly depositing a cash security of Rs.450/- and holding themselves responsible to make good any loss the Government may suffer.

4. That memorialist accordingly remitted Rs.450/- to the Mangalore Sub-Treasury on 25-11-1931 and on the 2nd. December,1931, the Director of Fisheries, Madras intimated in his No. 2599F/31, (Copy not enclosed) that necessary instructions for re-opening the yard have been issued to the Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) Calicut and the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard was accordingly reopened.

5. That memorialist humbly submits the temporary closing of this Fish Curing Yard under circumstances submitted above, and its subsequent re-opening cannot remove or disconnect it with its antiquity nor can these incidents place this oldest Fish Curing Yard in the category of New Fish Curing Yards with any justification.

6. That further the operations in a Fish Curing Yard depend mostly in the particular locality during particular seasons and these catches again are above human control and depend upon several incidental conditions over which none except elements of natural

phenomena controlled by higher forces over which

7. That therefore should any particular Fish Curing Yard suffer a loss in any year, that can be taken to be a sufficient cause to close down the yard.

8. That this particular Fish Curing Yard had never suffered loss before the year 1931 and since the year 1931 till now, it has justified its existence and Fish Curing Yards taken as a whole are a source of income to the department.

9. That cash deposits are never demanded in the case of Old Fish Curing Yards and the demand of such a deposit in case of this Fish Curing Yard was therefore not justified, memorialist yielded and paid the deposit to make the Government feel convinced that the plea for the continuance of this Fish Curing Yard was well founded.

10. The cash deposit of Rs.450/- remitted by memorialist in 1931 is lying idle without interest with the Government during these 8 years and memorialist submits that it is a hard hit for the memorialists. They are a poor community and always labour under great financial difficulties and it is too much to expect them to allow this sum of Rs.450/- to remain with the Government for all time to come.

11. That the continuance of this particular Fish Curing Yard having been justified by the results during these eight years,

further period of 2 years is not justified.

In these circumstances memorialist prays that Government may be graciously pleased to treat the Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard as one of the oldest Fish Curing Yards and allow it to continue without any demand of a fresh bond, and also memorialist implores that the amount now held in deposit be refunded.

For which act of justice and mercy memorialist shall ever feel grateful-

ಮಹಜರ್ದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು

Hosabettu,
19-4-1939.

(True Copy)

Original submitted thro' the
proper Channel
This true Copy is respectfully
submitted dated
ಮಹಜರ್ದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

Dem. Deptt.

Orders received vide H45-7 dtd

The remarks of the D.F. on the original petition sent through him may be awaited.

(The question of relaxing the conditions in so far as they relate to the opening of new fish curing yards is seperately under consideration)

Orders passed in P.D/270 DW 135 29/1 (5)

P.S
27-4-39

RR
27/4/39

27/4/39

O T.O.

5 9

Department of Fisheries,

Endt.No.1208-F/39-2 Madras, 10th June 1939.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 JUN 1939
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

16385

Forwarded.

2. The fish curing yard at Hosabettu was one of the nine yards working at a loss and temporarily closed as a measure of retrenchment from 1st October 1931 vide my letter Ref.No.2599-F/31 dated 14.10.1931. It was subsequently re-opened on the curers representation and on their undertaking to make good to Government the excess of expenditure over revenue and to make a deposit of Rs.450/- to gurantee against such recoupment of loss - vide G.O.No.Ms.1610 Dev., dated 6.11.1931. The yard was accordingly re-opened on 8.12.1931. A statement showing the income and expenditure on the yard since then is enclosed. It will be seen that the yard did not show loss till 31.3.1938 and it was only in 1938-39 it has worked at a loss of about Rs.94-6-8 and this amount has to be recovered from the curers. On the whole it has worked at a profit of Rs.201-6-0 per annum on the average since its re-opening.

3. One of the grievances in the petition is that the deposit of Rs.450/-made by the curers is lying idle without interest with Government during these eight years. This is so because the amount has been placed under "Revenue Deposit" in the Sub Treasury at Mangalore. It can be withdrawn and deposited in a Post Office Savings Bank so that the curers may have the benefit of the interest that will accrue. As out of their deposit there will be sufficient amount left even after the adjustment of the loss incurred in 1938-39 and in view of the fact that the yard has worked at a loss only for a year during the last eight years the curers need not be pressed now to make good the amount of loss incurred in 1938-39 to make up the original deposit of Rs.450/-. The continuance of this

yard

yard for a further period of two years from 1st April 1939 has been sanctioned in G.O.No.454 Dev., dated 23.2.1939 subject to the condition that the curers undertake to re-imburse to Government of the loss if any, incurred in working.

4 This petition seems to have been prompted by the orders in G.O.No.Ms.1622 Dev., dated 6th July 1938 wherein the Government were pleased to waive the conditions imposed in G.O.No.1022 Dev., dated 23.7.1934 in the case of re-opening of four yards out of nine which had been similarly temporarily closed. In a similar case, in the case of Kodumbi yard the Government have declined to exempt the curers from payment of loss in future - vide G.O.No.12 Dev., dated 3.1.1939. If they do not agree to renew their bond, the yard will have to be closed under the existing orders of Government.

Enc: 1 Statement.

for Director of Fisheries.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Development Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

MLM/10.6.

7/11

Statement showing the Income and Expenditure of the Hosabettu yard, since its reopening on 8.12.1931.

Period.	Income.	Expenditure.	Profit or loss inclusive of P.C.R.	Profit or loss exclusive of P.C.R.	Cost of P.C.R.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8.12.31 to 7.12.32.	Rs. A. P. 754. 4. 0.	Rs. A. P. 945. 7. 11.	Rs. A. P. -191. 3. 11.	Rs. A. P. +10. 12. 1.	Rs. A. P. 202. 0. 0.
8.12.32 to 7.12.33.	1167. 4. 6.	1031. 12. 10.	+135. 7. 8.	+186. 7. 8.	51. 0. 0.
8.12.33 to 31.3.35.	1400. 12. 6.	1181. 12. 3.	+ 219. 0. 3.	+ 264. 0. 3.	45. 0. 0.
1.4.35 to 31.3.36.	1984. 7. 6.	1439. 9. 0.	+544. 14. 6.	+716. 14. 6.	172. 0. 0.
1-4.36 to 31.3.37.	815. 2. 1.	950. 4. 9.	-135. 2. 8.	+41. 13. 4.	177. 0. 0.
1.4.37 to 31.3.38.	1192. 4. 0.	958. 14. 0.	+ 233. 6. 0.	+284. 1. 0.	50. 11. 0.
1.4.38 to 31.3.39.	586. 9. 3.	840. 15. 11.	— 254. 6. 8.	— 94. 6. 8.	160. 0. 0.

MLM/10.6.

12

Dev. Dept.

Subject: Fish curing yard, Hosabettu - Execution of bond by the ticket holders - Refund of deposit

--

(From the Director of Fisheries, Endorsement No.1208-F/39-2 dated 10th June 1939.)

To A.S.

Please see the petition at pp 1-4 c.f. and the D.F's remarks thereon at pp 5-6 c.f. The requests of the petitioner are:--

1) that the ticket holders of Hosabettu fish curing yard may be exempted from executing a bond to make good the loss in the working of the yard; and

2) that their security deposit which has not earned any interest so far may be refunded to them.

2. Item (1) above: In G.O. No. 454 Development dated 23-2-39, sanction was accorded to the retention of the Hosabettu yard for a further period of two years from 1-4-39 subject to the condition that the curers should undertake to reimburse Government of the loss if any incurred in the working of the yard and to execute a bond making themselves jointly and severally liable to make good the loss. It was then reported that the ticket holders were willing to execute the bond. The D.F. states that the present petition seems to have been prompted by the orders in G.O. 1622 Dev., dated 6-7-38 wherein the Government waived the conditions imposed in G.O. 1022 Dev., d/23-7-34 in the case of reopening of 4 yards which were temporarily closed in 1931. The Hosabettu yard was also closed in 1931 as a measure of retrenchment but it was subsequently reopened on the curers representation subject to the conditions

(i) that the curers in the yard agreed to make good the excess of expenditure over revenue; and

(11) that they undertook to make in advance a deposit of Rs.450/-, being approximately the difference between revenue and expenditure in the year 1930-31. This yard, as will be seen from col.(5) of the statement at page 7 c.r., has been, since its reopening, working at a profit except during last year when it has incurred a loss of about Rs.94/-. But on the average, as stated by the D.F., the yard has worked at a profit of about Rs.200/- per annum. It may be mentioned also that during the years 1936-37 and 1937-38 the fishing season was unfavourable but yet the yard has worked at a profit. The Director has not made any recommendation on the petitioner's request, but states that if the curers do not agree to renew the bond, the yard will have to be closed under the existing orders. As already stated the Govt. sanctioned in G.O. 1622 Dev., dated 6-7-38 the reopening of 4 yards without imposing any condition on the curers. The main consideration on which these 4 yards were reopened was that they were not likely to cause loss to Government. But, as a safeguard, the D.F. has been asked to watch the transactions of these 4 yards from time to time and satisfy himself that their continuance does not involve any loss to Government. From the results of the working of the Hosabettu yard as indicated above, it does not appear that it will incur any loss in its working under ordinary circumstances. The D.F. has not explained the reasons for the loss of Rs.94/- in 1938-39. It may apparently be due to a very unfavourable fishing season. There seems to be no objection to extend to this yard also the concession allowed in the case of the other 4 yards which were similarly closed in 1931. Reference is also solicited to Finance Department Deputy Secretary's note at page 9 of G.O. No.1270 Development dated 13-5-39. (S) wherein it is stated that the conditins laid down in G.O. 1022 Dev., dated 23-7-34 may be waived in connection with the reopening of yards previously closed if it is fairly certain that there would be no loss in their working. It is for

vide 'B' mp.5 (12)

cf. para 6, p.3 of Admn Report of Fisheries for 1937-38 p.m.

vide 'A' mp 3'1.

Rc.454 dtd 23-2-1 para 3 p.93 of (P)

para 5 p 94 of

vide paras 3-5 mp 93 94 of (F)

(2) consideration whether the conditions in the G.O. ibid may be waived in the case of the Hosabettu yard, ~~and yard being continued subject to the condition that the~~ the D.F. asked to ~~D.F. should~~ watch the transactions of the yard from time to time and satisfy himself that its continuance does not involve any loss to Government.

3. (Item(2) on page 1 ante): Please see the D.F's remarks in para 3 at pages 5-6 c.f. If the suggestion in para 2 above is accepted, there seems to be no need to retain the balance of the security deposit of the curers. The security deposit after adjusting from it the loss incurred in 1938-39 may be refunded to the curers.

O.M. 5 " "
94-6-8
3-5-9-4

4. The remarks of Finance may be invited.

If the deposit is returned in the case of Hosabettu, it will have to be returned in the case of the other three yards - Pudiakadapuram, Nattika and Baikampadi - continued under the same G.O. (454 d. 23.2.1939)

By 19/6 Dep 20/6.

K

K

Q

P

The conditions imposed on the re-opening of closed yards were relaxed in an earlier order (1622 d. 6.7.1938) and these orders were not applied when renewal of sanction to Hosabettu and three other yards was accorded in July 1939. There is however no reason to distinguish the case of these four yards from the yard which was approved without conditions in July 1938. But the question is whether the ticket holders should now be permitted to invoke the question of exemption from the operation of the conditions to which it was stated they were prepared to agree - vide para 3 ante. 2 G.O. (Q). Sanction accorded in this order may remain subject to the conditions specified but we may agree (1) that the loss incurred in the

A

15

Hosakatta grant (Rs. 94-6-8) in 1938-39 may be borne by Govt and not adjusted with the Security deposit in view of the profits earned in previous years which may be noted in the Covers and

(2). the deposit may be transferred and kept in Mysore Post office Savings Bank and

(3). the Govt. hold that the question of renewing the conditions will be considered at the time of renewal of the Grant. Sanction

The willingness of the Govt to bear the loss incurred in 1938-39 may serve to forthwith the Govt to renew the lease

Remarks of Govt may be communicated.

RL

The G.O. at (Q) may continue till 1941.

A / The loss of Rs. 94-6-8 may be borne by Govt in view of the profits in earlier years

B / The deposit may be transferred to Post office Savings Bank at once.

C / The working of the yards till 1941 may be watched; and if they continue to do well the question of continuing them without conditions thereafter as in the case of (P) may be considered.

22/6/39.

Fin

23882-1

Dev. Dn 1151 2 VI/39-1

24:6:39

(with Fisheries Administration Report for 1937-38)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
05
24 [illegible] 1939

5 16

Finance (Accounts).

Portion 'A' of the Devt. Secretary's note on page 4 ante.— Development propose that the loss of Rs 94-6-8 for the year 1938-39 relating to the fish-curing yard at Hosabettu in the South Kanara Dt. may be borne by the Government in view of the profits earned in earlier years - cf. col (5) of the statement on p.7 C.F. There seems to be no undertaking on the part of the Govt. to set off the loss in any one year against the profits earned in previous years. The Director of Fisheries is in favour of the loss of Rs 94-6-8 being adjusted from the security deposit of Rs 450. If the proposal of Devt. not to adjust the loss is agreed to, it may lead to similar requests from the ticket holders of the three yards specified at 'K' on p.3 ante if they happen to work at a loss during 1938-39. In the circumstances, it is for consideration whether this department may advise against the proposal of Devt. I support the D.F.'s proposal.

wd. para 3 on M. 5-6 c.f.

Cs 6e/7/31 Dno

2. Portion 'B' of the Devt. Secretary's note on page 4 ante.— The total amount of cash deposited as security by the ticket holder of the Hosabettu fish curing yard in 1931 was Rs 450/- It is reported that this amount was placed under 'Revenue deposit' in the sub-treasury at Mangalore. The proposal of Development is that this deposit should be withdrawn from 'Revenue deposits' and invested in Post office Savings Bank in order that the amount

para 3 on p. 5 c.f.

para 3 on p. 5 c.f. & B on p. 4 ante

The interest will, however, be trivial. Cr 4/7/39

may earn interest. The amount proposed to be invested will be reduced by Rs 94-6-8, if it is decided to adjust the ~~xxxxx~~ loss incurred in 1938-39 against the security.

M.F. 17-17 of F. 1939

Article 341 (19) of the M.F. & A.C., Vol.I, lays down that security deposits of contractors of all civil departments which are not invested in the Post Office Savings Bank or in Govt. securities may be held under 'Revenue deposits'. It follows ~~thax~~ from this that there is no objection to the security deposits in the present case being withdrawn from ~~k~~ 'Revenue deposits' and invested in the Post Office Savings Bank - cf. in this connection Article 78 of the M.F. & A.C. Vol.I, which lays down that in the case of Govt. servants security deposits in cash should be invested in the Post Office Savings Bank in accordance with the rules of the Post Office Savings Bank. According to the rules in this Article, the investment may be made in the name of the ticket-holders of the yard, but the pass book should be kept in the safe custody of the Director of Fisheries.

× p. 42 of Fmark not picked up

× Art. 78 on p. 42 of M F & A C, Vol I

The account would have to be pledged to the D.F. under the P.O.S.B. rules. Cr 4.7.39 Dms 6/7

It is not clear whether the deposits made by the three yards mentioned ~~on~~ at K on p.3 ante are also held under 'Revenue deposits'. If so, they may also be withdrawn and invested in the Post office Savings Bank if the ticket-holders of ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ those yards so desire.

This need not be done, unless the ticket-holders themselves apply for it. Dms 6/7

3. Portion C of the Devt. Secretary's note on page 4 ante. The proposal of the Development Secretary may be accepted.

4 With the above remarks, the case may be returned to Development.

B 3.7.39 Cr 4.7.39

A DS Dcl

C It would hardly be possible to do

7/18

single
a P.O.S.B. account in the names of all the ticket-holders of a yard. I think there is an alternative procedure under the P.O.S.B. Rules under which the account could be opened in the name of the D.F. (or the appropriate officer of the Fisheries Dept) on account of the security. C

I think the P.O.S.B. only pays 1½ % p.a. interest now. If the ticket-holders would prefer to get a higher rate of interest & run the risk of capital fluctuations, there would be no objection to the amount being invested in Madras Govt securities as far as possible with their consent. (The new 3% loan, 1959, is now quoted at about Rs. 98). D

D.W.D.
6.7.39.

Dept

Fin no 24-332 Budg
7/7/39

Dir. Fish.

To A.S.

Please see the Finance Dept. note in Fin. S. and Dl. It is suggested that the loss incurred in 1938-39 may be adjusted against the security deposit of the ticket-holders. Submitted that the suggestion may be accepted.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8-JUL 1939
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

14/7

14/7

14/7/39 S no

19/7/39

19042

H.M. (Agr. R.D.)

22.7.39

Cir'd 21/7/39

Pl no 28/7

no 28/7/39.

19

28/2417

C.F.17.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.	@	

SUBJECT:—

FISHERIES - Fish curing yards - Hosabettu Exemption from execution of bond and refund of cash deposit - Orders passed.

READ AGAIN G.O.454(Ms) Dev., dated 23--2--1939. 19 .

,, ,, ,, 19 .

READ *ALSO*:—

C.No.11512-VI/39.

No. From Sri Poova Gunikar, Ticket Holder, dated 19 Hl-4 a:
Hosabettu Fish Curing Yard No. .. d/ 19-4-1939.

No.16385-VI/39 .Endt. from the D.F. No.1208-F/39-2 dated 10-6-1939.
Hs-7a:

,, ,, ,, 19 .

Order Ms. No. 1889, dated 29-7-1939.

The proposal of the D.F. to 101-3-0
adjust the loss of Rs.94-6-8 incurred during 1938-39 on account of the working of the fish curing yard at Hosabettu from the security deposit of the curers of the yard is approved. The balance of the security deposit, viz., 348-12-2
Rs.355-9-4 may be invested in the Post Office Savings Bank but the account should be pledged to the D.F. under the P.O.S.B. Rules. If a single account cannot be opened in the names of all the ticket holders of the yard, the account may be opened in the name

bide 'C' and 'D' m/h 5 a:

A

450-00
94-6-8
355-9-4

C. m/h 6-7
N.F.

 20

of the D.F. (or the appropriate officer of the Dept.) on account of the security. the ticket holders would, however, prefer to get a higher rate of interest and run the risk of capital fluctuations, the ~~xxxxx~~ amount may be invested in Madras Government securities as far as possible with their consent.

2. The ticket holders of the Hosabettu fish curing yard will not for the present be exempted from executing the bond nor will the balance of deposit referred to in para 1 above ~~will~~ be refunded to them. The Director is requested to watch the working of this yard as well as the three other yards at Pudiakadapuram, ~~xx~~ Nattika and Baikampadi till 31-3-1941 and if they continue to work at a profit, the question of retaining them thereafter without any conditions will be considered by Govt.

3. The D.F. is requested to inform the petitioner accordingly.

14/7

14/7

14/7/39

19/7/39

To the D.F.
To the A.G.(para 1 only)thro' Fin.

To be attached with G.O. Ms. No. 1889 Dev. dt. 29.7.39

C.F. 30.

FISHERIES **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 32421 19 .

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Hosabettu - Loss in working it during 1938-39 - adjustment from the Security Deposit.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No.1208-F/39

Station Madras , *Dated the* 26th October 19 39.

From (Name) Dewan Bahadur Dr.B.Sundara Raj,M.A.,Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

Ref:-- G.O.No.Ms.1889 Dev.,dated 29.7.1939.

In their order quoted above, Government approved of my proposal to adjust from the Security Deposit of the curers of the Hosabettu fish curing yard the loss of Rs.94-6-8 sustained in working the yard during 1938-39. This amount shown in my statement forwarded to Government with my endorsement Ref.No.1208-F/39-2 dated 10-6-1939 was arrived at by adopting the rates of the previous season (1937-38) for the cost and transport charges of salt supplied to the yard during the 1938-39 season. The statement had to be revised after

the rates for 1938-39 was known. Charging the yard at the rates worked out for the year 1938-39 for the quantity of salt supplied during that season the actual loss is found to be Rs.101-3-10-- vide Revised statement for the yard (copy enclosed). I am therefore asking the Sub Assistant Director to adjust this amount instead of Rs.94-6-8 from the Security Deposit of the curers. The statements for the previous years have been similarly revised from time to time annually.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Fisheries.

MLM/27.10.

INCOME AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT OF HOSABETTU YARD FROM 1-4-38 to 31-3-39.

	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Opening balance of salt. 602-25 @ 0-7-9.	291-14-0.		Sale proceeds of salt ...	582-5-6.	
300 maunds @ 0-7-9.	145- 5-0.				
200 " @ 0-7-6.	93-12-0.	530-15-0.	Miscellaneous. ...	4-3-9.	
					586- 9- 3.
Closing balance 636-30 @ 0-7-6. ...	...	298- 7-8.			
			Loss. ...		261- 3-10.
Prime cost of salt issued.	465-35.	232- 7-4.			
Establishment.					
Pay.	393- 0- 0.				
Travelling allowance.	24- 8- 0.				
Contingencies.	22-13- 0.		Loss. 261- 3- 10.		
			Deduct		
Supervision.	15- 0- 0.	455- 5- 9.	P.C.R. 160- 0- 0.		
			Net loss.101-3- 10.		
Petty construction and repairs.		160- 0- 0.			
		847-13- 1.			847-13- 1.

Development Department.

O.L.No.32421 VI/39-2 dated 11-12-39.

Ref: Letter from the Director of Fisheries No.1208-F/39 dated 26-10-1939.

Fish curing yards - Kosabettu - Adjustment of loss.

The following amendment will be issued to paragraph I of G.O.No.1889 (MS) Dev. dated 29-7-1939.

AMENDMENT.

For the figures "Rs.94-6-8" and "Rs.355-9-4", the figures "Rs.101-3-10" and "Rs.348-12-2", respectively, shall be substituted.

To the Director of Fisheries
" A.G. (with copy of D.F.'s letter read above).

Dpd. by Van 193

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 6-DEC 1939 FINANCE DEPT.

Dev (W) 32421 VI/39-1
5.12.39.

Note. Finance may see before issue (After issue, the papers may be ~~bodily~~ clubbed with G.O. 1889 Dev dt 29.7.39.)

Finance (Accts)

The draft memo overleaf may be perused & the case returned to Dev. n.o

7.12.39

Dev

Fin no 50158 Acc 87

7/12/39

Dev Deptt

3648.

The draft memo at p 1 ante may now issue

4/12/39

8.12.39